

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/439,890 11/12/1999 TAKESHI SUZUKI | P/3541-3 | 2252 | |
| 7590 09/06/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN LLP 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS | WOODS, ERIC V | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 100368403 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | 2628 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/439,890 | SUZUKI, TAKESHI | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Eric Woods | 2628 | |

| | | Eric Woods | 2628 | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE RE | PLY FILED <u>8/23/2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC | ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL | OWANCE. | |
| thi pla a | ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or is application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i | affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T | ling date of the final rejecti | on. |
| nave bee under 37 set forth may redu | ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laterage any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing | nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Office. | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| fili a | ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. | he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | nsideration and/or search (see Now); tter form for appeal by materially corresponding number of finally i | OTE below); reducing or simplifying | |
| 5. | the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alternative claim(s). The purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | 21. See attached Notice of Non-0: | e, timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| TH CI CI CI AFFIDA | we the new or amended claims would be rejected is prome status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| be wa | ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affid | avit or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| er sh | ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented. | peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| REQUE | ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | |
| 12. 🔲 N | See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet. | | r No(s) UML CHAUHAN ULKA CHAUHAN RVISORY PATENT EX | |
| | | 23/ 2/ | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner does not agree with applicant. Fellegara at least suggests the stated display element, and clarifying this point is not changing grounds of rejection. Further, the system of Fellegara at least suggests advancing between different images. Applicant is ignoring the fact if a panoramic image is made of different frames then the set of frames is still a set of images, regardless of whether or not they are organized as one larger panoramic image. Ejiri does in fact show that sets of image can be used to compose such a panoramic image, in that such an image would consist of a base set of images such as those recited thereby. The point that examiner is trying to make by incorporating Manico is that the system of Fellegara would then be able to *recognize* a panoramic image sotred in memory. The references do not therefore teach away from the combination.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant is encouraged to contact examiner for suggestions regarding amending the claims to overcome the current rejections before the filing of the next reponse.